	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	
Notice of Allowability	10/705,860 Examiner	MORII ET AL. Art Unit
	Faye Polyzos	2878
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperation apperation being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIPORT OF THE OFFICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIPORT OF THE OFFICE OFF	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communicat GHTS. This application is subjection	application. If not included ion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>13 November 2003</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-16</u> .		
3. ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:	,	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		oly complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review(PT	O-948) attached
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment or in the	e Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT R	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOG	L must be submitted. Note the IICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 🗍 Notice of Informa	l Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summa	., , , , ,
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No./Mail [Date .
Paper No./Mail Date <u>3/16/04</u>	<u>_</u>	
 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	8. 🛛 Examiner's State	ment of Reasons for Allowance
	9. 🗌 Other	
	•	

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-16 are allowed.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claims 1 and 10, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest an image pickup apparatus, comprising a semiconductor conversion element forming an electrode outside a region in which two of the drive wiring, an electrode of the switching element, and the signal wiring overlap each other, exclusive of at least part of a region above the drive wiring and at least part of a region above the electrode of the switching element.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art for an image pickup apparatus with a semiconductor device to comprise a plurality of semiconductor elements, a plurality of switching elements, and a plurality of drive lines for driving the switching elements, and a plurality of signal lines for reading out an electric charge detected by the semiconductor elements provided on a substrate in order to form a redundant circuit with no decrease in aperture ratio to prevent the yield from being lowered due to wire breaking (see for example *Ishii et al – US 2002/0017666 A1 –* [0016], [0018]) and single extra wiring (redundant wiring) can be suitably selected depending on the number of possible breakings in each wire and breaking in wiring is irradiated with a laser to electrically connect the lines to each other enabling readout of an electric charge through a broken line therefore, lowering the yield due to breaking of data signal lines that can be prevented (see for example *Ishii et al – US 2002/0017666*

Art Unit: 2878

A1 – [0076], [0078] and [0093]), the prior art does not fairly suggest a structure where a semiconductor conversion element forming an electrode outside the region, as disclosed supra, to stably process a defect portion by laser for suitable repair.

Regarding independent claim 13, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest an image pickup apparatus, comprising a semiconductor conversion element wherein the electrode is removed at least in vicinities of the region where the switching element is formed and part of the driving wiring.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art for an image pickup apparatus with a semiconductor device to comprise a plurality of semiconductor elements, a plurality of switching elements, and a plurality of drive lines for driving the switching elements, and a plurality of signal lines for reading out an electric charge detected by the semiconductor elements provided on a substrate in order to form a redundant circuit with no decrease in aperture ratio to prevent the yield from being lowered due to wire breaking (see for example Ishii et al – US 2002/0017666 A1 – [0016], [0018]) and single extra wiring (redundant wiring) can be suitably selected depending on the number of possible breakings in each wire and breaking in wiring is irradiated with a laser to electrically connect the lines to each other enabling readout of an electric charge through a broken line therefore, lowering the yield due to breaking of data signal lines that can be prevented (see for example Ishii et al – US 2002/0017666 A1 - [0076], [0078] and [0093]), the prior art does not fairly suggest a structure where the semiconductor conversion element forming an electrode wherein the electrode is removed from the regions where the switching element is formed and in part of the

Art Unit: 2878

driving wiring, as disclosed supra, to stably processes a defect portion by laser for suitable repair.

The remaining claims are allowable based on their dependency.

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Polyzos whose telephone number is 571-272-2447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2878

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FP

DAVID PORTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800